

**FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.**  
A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP  
2200 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 2800  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-2764  
WWW.FULBRIGHT.COM

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

**DATE:** June 7, 2004

**MATTER NUMBER:** 47524/P102US/09901295

<b>RECIPIENT(s):</b>	<b>FAX No.:</b>	<b>PHONE No.:</b>
Examiner MD S. Elahee	703-746-9463	

**FROM:** Thomas Kelton                    **USER ID:** TK10763            **FLOOR:** 26  
**PHONE:** (214) 855-7115                    **FAX:** (214) 855-8200  
**RE:** 09/689,481

**NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE:** 2

**Message:**

**CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL  
DONNA FORBIT AT 214-855-8371 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

Docket No.: 47524/P102US/09901295  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:

Michael J. Polcyn

Confirmation no.: 7741

Application No.: 09/689,481

Art Unit: 2645

Filed: October 12, 2000

Examiner: M. S. Elahee

For: **RESOURCE MANAGEMENT UTILIZING  
QUANTIFIED RESOURCE ATTRIBUTES**

**ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION**

Examiner Elahee

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's request for the issues to be discussed in the upcoming phone interview of June 15, 2004, Applicant presents the following brief summary thereof:

Each of the independent claims recites either "quantify" or "quantifying." Applicants assert that the phrase should be read in light of the definition given in the specification at page 15, lines 7-9. Such an interpretation is consistent with M.P.E.P. §2111.01, which specifies how such phrases should be interpreted when deciding patentability. Amending the claims to add language that tracks the definition in the specification would add neither new matter nor new issues. Further, the cited art does not teach or suggest such feature.